

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 , www.uspto.gov

		The state of the s		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,195	12/31/2003	Jason Brown	8C20.1-260	9172
39513 7590 10/09/2007 GARDNER GROFF GREENWALD & VILLANUEVA, P.C. 2018 POWERS FERRY ROAD			EXAMINER	
			BHATTACHARYA, SAM	
	SUITE 800 ATLANTA, GA 30339		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,195	BROWN, JASON
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Bhattacharya	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 c 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-13 and 15-18 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-13 and 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct all the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date

Application/Control Number: 10/750,195

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fascenda (US 2004/0068653) in view of Hwang (US 6457164).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Fascenda discloses a multiple subscription subscriber identity module (SIM) card 232 for use with portable devices, the card including a subscription identity module (SIM) coupled to the card, the SIM including a plurality of sets of subscription parameters, wherein a single set of subscription parameters is selected from among the plurality of sets of subscription parameters and wherein the selected set of subscription parameters is activated. See FIG. 2, paragraph 75, lines 1-19 and paragraph 76, lines 1-27.

Fascenda fails to disclose that the SIM includes a software interface for allowing a user to select which one of the plurality of sets of subscription parameters to activate.

However, in an analogous art, Hwang discloses a SIM module that includes a software interface for allowing a user to select which one of the plurality of sets of subscription parameters to activate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the SIM card in Fascenda by incorporating these features taught by Hwang for the purpose of adapting the module based on the subscription requirements at a particular time.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,195

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Fascenda discloses that at least one set of subscription parameters corresponds to one of a plurality of different operating markets of use for the SIM card. See paragraph 76, lines 1-7.

Regarding claims 3 and 13, Fascenda discloses that the SIM has a default set of subscription parameters from among the plurality of sets of subscription parameters, wherein the default set of subscription parameters is selected unless a set of subscription parameters different from the default set of subscription parameters is selected. See paragraph 45, lines 1-17.

Regarding claims 5 and 16, Fascenda discloses that the software interface is activated upon powering up the SIM card, unless one set of the plurality of subscription parameters has been selected/activated. See paragraph 45, lines 1-17.

Regarding claim 6, Fascenda discloses that the software interface further includes a menu-based software interface. See paragraph 48, lines 1-5 and paragraph 49, lines 1-10.

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Fascenda discloses that during activation of the card, the set of subscription parameters is automatically selected based on the market in which the SIM card is activated. See paragraph 53, lines 1-17.

Regarding claim 8, Fascenda discloses that at least one set of the plurality of sets of subscription parameters includes an Integrated Circuit Card Identifier (ICCID). See paragraph 36, lines 1-7.

Regarding claim 9, Fascenda discloses that at least one set of the plurality of sets of subscription parameters includes an International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI). See paragraph 34, lines 1-11.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,195

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 11, Fascenda discloses updating the SIM module with the selected set of subscription parameters. See paragraph 76, lines 1-27.

Regarding claim 15, Fascenda discloses that the selecting step further includes instructing the software interface to select one set of subscription parameters from among the plurality of subscription parameters and instructing the software interface to update the SIM module with the selected set of subscription parameters. See paragraph 76, lines 1-27.

Regarding claim 18, Fascenda discloses that the selecting step further includes the steps of deactivating the selected set of subscription parameters, selecting a different set of subscription parameters, and updating the SIM module with the newly selected set of subscription parameters. See paragraph 53, lines 1-17.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Bhattacharya whose telephone number is (571) 272-7917. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays, 9-6, with first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,195 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sb

Mey